

EXHIBIT

27

Subject: Subpoena
From: Julie <julie.introcaso@gmail.com>
Date: 2/15/22, 7:28 PM
To: dana.albrecht@hushmail.com

Mr. Albrecht,

Thank you for your response. I will transmit a copy of the Motion to Quash as quickly as I can figure out how to scan, hopefully tomorrow morning. A photocopy of the motion will be mailed to your DW Highway address tomorrow as well. Similarly, I will be forwarding the same to Attorney Fontaine as he was copied on the NOH. I am not submitting my motion to Katherine Albrecht as you were the party compelling my appearance.

I respectfully suggest (a suggestion you are absolutely entitled to ignore) if you are calling me to testify about a matter or statement I made in my deposition, the quickest and easiest way to do that would be to submit my deposition itself with references to particular passages for the judicial officer to digest in deliberation. It is extremely unlikely that I will testify to any matter differently than I did under oath (see below). An in-court solicitation of testimony can be dicey and distract from the substance. You can't anticipate what other parties or the court may ask of me when I appear (I can't either). In all reasonable likelihood, I will continue to refer back to my deposition, frustrating the hearing officer/judge in your case.

An important aside, I have yet to see or read that deposition or any others taken during the same investigation. I did not contest the judicial conduct allegations against me, I did not contest the criminal allegations against me (rather, I asserted my innocence under Alford) and I recently learned of attempts to disbar me which I agreed to resolve without any objection. I have had no reason to review the same. I gave that deposition by agreement in a vain attempt to inform the investigation.

If the Court compels me to attend, I ask that you let me see and review the parts of the deposition you intend to highlight for the Court before Friday morning. I want to be fully responsive to the areas of inquiry you want to highlight in presenting your case.

Also, be aware that I have credible reasons to believe the State would take criminal action against me due to conclusions that have been drawn related to my voluntary resignation and subsequent Alford plea. If asked to expand on or clarify my deposition in any fashion, I will most likely assert my rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the US Constitution and Part I, Article 15 of the NH Constitution. I would have no other practical alternative and I regret that is the case, but I want you to be on notice of the possibility.

With respect to any Appointment of Guardian ad litem order issued in your case, I suspect I was a "co-signer". I have no recollection of you personally, any facts of your case, or having co-signed many orders, given the nature and volume of my judicial work. I clearly recall being asked about the appointment of Attorney Sterneberg in another case - again, a decision that I did not make substantively, but rather I provided a certification and approval of a master's deliberated recommendation.

Prior to any hearing, I will happily respond to any direct, informal inquiry you have for me, as I will if contacted by the opposing party. I am also amenable to providing a signed affidavit if presented with one that represents my anticipated testimony.

I will cooperate fully with whatever you think is best for your case. I also respectfully ask for your assent to my motion which you can consider after review.

Have a peaceful evening and be well.

Regards,

Julie Introcaso

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

O R D E R**LD-2021-0001, In the Matter of Julie A. Introcaso, Esquire**

On March 24, 2021, the court suspended the respondent, Julie A. Introcaso, on an interim basis from the practice of law as a result of criminal charges that were pending against her. On February 3, 2022, the Attorney Discipline Office (ADO) filed certified copies of the respondent's convictions in State of New Hampshire v. Julie A. Introcaso, Hillsborough Superior Court – South docket no. 226-2021-CR-00126, on two misdemeanor counts of RSA 641:7 (Tampering With Public Records or Information) and one misdemeanor count of RSA 641:3 (Unsworn Falsification). With the certified copies, the ADO provided its written recommendation “that the Court enter an order disbaring [the respondent] from the practice of law pursuant to Rule 37(9)(d).” The ADO further stated that it had contacted the respondent, and she **“does not object to the disposition proposed by the Attorney Discipline Office and waives the formal disciplinary process contemplated by Rule 37(9)(d).”**


The court concludes that the respondent has been convicted of a “serious crime,” as that term is defined in Supreme Court Rule 37(9)(b). Subparagraph 9(d) of Rule 37 provides that “[u]pon the receipt of a certificate of conviction of an attorney for a ‘serious crime,’ the court may, and shall if suspension has been ordered pursuant to subsection (a) above, institute a formal disciplinary proceeding by issuing an order to the attorney to show cause why the attorney should not be disbarred as result of the conviction.” Because the respondent does not object to the ADO’s recommendation for disbarment, and because the respondent has waived the formal disciplinary process contemplated by Rule 37(9)(d), it is unnecessary to serve the respondent with the ADO’s recommendation or to provide her an opportunity to be heard on the recommendation prior to court action. In light of the seriousness of the respondent’s misconduct, the court concludes that the respondent should be disbarred.

THEREFORE, the court orders that Julie A. Introcaso be disbarred from the practice of law in New Hampshire. She is hereby assessed all costs and expenses incurred by the attorney discipline system in the investigation and prosecution of the disciplinary matter.

Bassett, Hantz Marconi, and Donovan, JJ., concurred.

DATE: February 25, 2022

ATTEST:



Timothy A. Gudas, Clerk

Distribution:

Mark P. Cornell, Esq.

Brian R. Moushegian, Esq.

Michael A. Delaney, Esq.

Julie A. Introcaso, Esq.

File

EXHIBIT

29

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
Judicial Conduct Committee

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE *

*

V. *

DOCKET JC-21-072-C

*

MASTER BRUCE DALPRA *

*

DEPOSITION OF THE HONORABLE DAVID KING

August 26, 2022, 9:59 a.m.

The deposition took place at the Office of the Judicial Conduct Committee,
Concord, New Hampshire

DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTION VERBATIM

Audio & Video Recording and Transcription Service
Paralegal, Notary Public, Medical Transcription

Jan-Robin Brown, CER-415 & CET-415
Weare, NH 03281

Telephone: **603.529.7212**
E-mail: AudioDepos@gsinet.net

MEMBER: AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF ELECTRONIC REPORTERS & TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

IN ATTENDANCE

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

Philip Waystack Esquire
Waystack Frizzell
251 Main Street
Colebrook, NH 03576
603.237.8322

FOR MASTER DALPRA:

Anthony Sculimbrene, Esquire
Leslie Gill, Esquire
Gill & Sculimbrene, PLLC
39 East Pearl Street
Nashua, NH 03060
603.889.5959

FOR JUDGE KING:

Mary Ann Dempsey, Esquire
1 Granite Place, Suite N400
Concord, NH 03301
603.415-0660

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
Judicial Conduct Committee

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE *

*

V. *

DOCKET JC-21-072-C

*

MASTER BRUCE DALPRA *

*

DEPOSITION OF THE HONORABLE DAVID KING

August 26, 2022, 9:59 a.m.

This deposition excerpt is relevant to the issues in this matter. The balance of the deposition transcript is not relevant to the issues and thus has been redacted by agreement of counsel.

1

2

3 Q

4

5

6 A

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 Q How about this: When you are deciding what to do vis-
16 à-vis Bruce DalPra and sending this email, did you
17 have in your mind, guiding your actions in this
18 specific case, Rule 2.15?

19 A Yes, I did.

20 Q Okay. And did you have in mind the difference between
21 2.15(A) and 2.15(C)?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. And at the time that you sent this email, in
24 your mind, did you believe that it rose to the level

1 of a 2.15(A) mandatory report?

2 A I would say at the time I made the call, I hadn't
3 decided that. I mean, I needed to gather some more
4 information. I needed to talk to Master DalPra, find
5 out what happened. I was going on pretty limited
6 information at that point. So I would - You're asking
7 me at a specific point in time. I would say at that
8 time, I didn't know if I had a 2.15(A) or (C), or none
9 of the above.

10 Q Okay. And at some point, you did talk to Master
11 DalPra, correct?

12 A I did.

13 Q And did that conversation clarify what obligations you
14 had in this specific case vis-à-vis Rule 2.15?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And so, in this case, - this leads me to my
17 next set of questions - did you believe that 2.15(A)
18 or 2.15(C) required you to provide this information to
19 the Judicial Conduct Committee?

20 A So I, after speaking to Master DalPra and reviewing
21 the rule, concluded that "A" was not the applicable
22 section. I did not have a belief, and don't have a
23 belief, as I sit here today, that the rule had been
24 violated raises a substantial question regarding his

1 honesty. He was pretty forthright with me about what
2 had occurred. His trustworthiness, I had no reason
3 not to trust him at that point. And when I think of
4 fitness as a judge, I think that's a pretty high bar
5 to meet. And I didn't, at that time, have a concern
6 about his fitness to serve as a judge. I had already
7 decided under "C," however, that this was something
8 that, even though it was a set of facts that I had
9 never seen before in my 30+ years as a judge, I felt
10 there was an obligation to let the Judicial Conduct
11 Committee know about it.

12 Q Did you tell the Judicial Conduct Committee?

13 A Did I tell the Judicial Conduct Committee what?

14 Q About what you had found regarding the transcript in
15 the Albrecht case?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. Did you provide this email to the Judicial
18 Conduct Committee?

19 A No.

20 Q Okay.

21 A And let me just be clear. When I say "Judicial
22 Conduct Committee," I had a conversation with Robert
23 Mittelholzer after I spoke with Master DalPra about
24 this incident. So I didn't have any communication

1 with the committee itself. I didn't send them
2 anything. I had a phone conversation with Robert
3 Mittelholzer.

4 Q Did you - STRIKE THAT. Were you aware of the fact
5 that Master DalPra had decided to self-report?

6 A I was aware that Master DalPra was going to self-
7 report. I had a conversation with him on Wednesday,
8 November 18th. I had sent him the email on Friday. I
9 think he was either - he either had a writing day that
10 day or he was on vacation. I had tried to call him on
11 his extension, which is typically how I try to reach a
12 judge. I don't like to call the clerk's office and,
13 you know, "The administrative judge is calling.
14 What's going on?" So I'm usually pretty low key about
15 these things. I was not able to get him. I tried a
16 couple of times during the day on Friday. So I sent
17 the email that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Didn't
18 hear back from him, I think until Tuesday, the 17th.
19 He said he had left his laptop at work and he'd been
20 working from home - circumstances that he didn't see
21 my email. So I think we spoke on Tuesday, or we
22 exchanged emails on Tuesday, and we agreed to speak on
23 Wednesday, the 18th at 12:30 during a break in his
24 cases.

1 Q Okay. Two questions about what you just said. For
2 people who are unaware, what is a writing day for a
3 judge?

4 A Rare. But it's a day when the judge is scheduled to
5 not have any scheduled cases so that they can catch up
6 on writing orders for cases that they've already
7 heard.

8 Q And then second, is it uncommon for judges to work
9 outside the courtroom on a writing day?

10 A Not during COVID-19, it wasn't.

11 Q And for the record, this took place in November of
12 2020, which was during the pandemic?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Okay. Did anything about the delay between when you
15 sent the email and when Master DalPra got back to you
16 indicate that he was trying to be deceptive or
17 concealing? Did you have any reason to believe that?

18 A No.

19 Q Okay. To this day, do you know whether or not the
20 committee has seen this email?

21 A I have no idea.

22 Q Okay. To this day, are you aware of whether or not
23 the committee has accessed and listened to either of
24 the two audio files contained in this email?

1 A I don't. I do know that in December of 2020, I
2 provided those to Robert Mittelhozer. It took me a
3 couple of tries because I sent them the first time in
4 a - probably use the wrong word here - but format that
5 he couldn't open. And so Kathy Yee was kind enough to
6 help me re-send them in a different format so that he
7 was able to open them.

8 Q Okay. And the same goes for the - the same question
9 for the two snippets. To your knowledge, do you know
10 if they've seen these snippets as like set apart from
11 the rest of the transcript in the way that you did in
12 this email?

13 A I do not.

14 Q Okay.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24



Corrections

Page No. 9, Line No. 15

Correction: "Cosign" should be "cosigned"

Page No. 11, Line No. 3

Correction: "as" should be "has"

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____

Page No. __, Line No. __

Correction: _____




Deponent

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

COUNTY OF Merrimack

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 day of
September 2022.



~~JUSTICE OF THE PEACE~~/NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires ~~Patricia M. Cole, Notary Public~~

State of New Hampshire

My Commission Expires October 3, 2023

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**SUPREME COURT****ORDER****JD-2022-0001, In the Matter of Bruce F. DalPra**

On October 5, 2022, the Judicial Conduct Committee (JCC) filed a summary report of its proceedings, findings, and recommendations in JC-21-072-C, In re: Bruce F. DalPra, along with a certified copy of the record of its proceedings.

According to the JCC's summary report, former marital master Bruce F. DalPra (DalPra), who retired from his position earlier this year, admitted that he violated a number of provisions of the Code of Judicial Conduct (Supreme Court Rule 38), as alleged in the JCC's Statement of Formal Charges and as modified by the Stipulation and Agreement signed by DalPra and the JCC's counsel. The JCC's record includes a copy of the Stipulation and Agreement, in which DalPra admitted violations of several Code provisions; acknowledged that he understood that the JCC would enter findings that he had violated those provisions; and waived his right to a de novo hearing on the charges. DalPra also acknowledged that he is responsible for reimbursing the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) for the attorney's fees, transcript fees, and other expenses that the JCC incurred to investigate and prosecute the matter. A subsequently executed amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement fixed the reimbursement amount at \$12,680.52.

The JCC reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement and entered findings, by clear and convincing evidence, that DalPra violated the following provisions of the Code of Judicial Conduct:

Canon 1, Rule 1.2, which provides: "A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety."

Canon 2, Rule 2.11, which provides in part: "A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned."

Canon 2, Rule 2.16(A), which provides: "A judge shall cooperate and be candid and honest with judicial and lawyer disciplinary agencies."

Canon 2, Rule 2.8(B), which provides, in relevant part: "A judge shall be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers,

court staff, court officials, and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity”

In the summary report of its findings, the JCC noted that DalPra had retired before the report was submitted. The summary report stated that because DalPra had taken this action, the JCC made no additional recommendations for “appropriate” sanctions.

In accordance with Rule 40(12) and (13), when the JCC determines that a “judge” — a term that includes a marital master, see Sup. Ct. R. 40(2); see also Sup. Ct. R. 38 (“Terminology” section) — has violated the Code of Judicial Conduct and determines that the violations warrant formal disciplinary action by this court, the judge may request a de novo hearing, after which the court will schedule briefing and oral argument. In this case, DalPra has waived his right to a de novo hearing, and he notified the court, through his counsel, that he does not seek the opportunity to file a brief or present oral argument.

The court determines that the JCC’s findings as to the violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct are supported by the JCC’s record. See Rule 40(13). In light of DalPra’s retirement from his position as a marital master, the court concludes that no additional disciplinary action is required.


Pursuant to Rule 40(13-A) and the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement, as amended, DalPra is ordered to reimburse the AOC in the amount of \$12,680.52 for the attorney’s fees, transcript fees, and other expenses that the JCC incurred to investigate and prosecute the matter. Payment shall be made on or before December 19, 2022.

MacDonald, C.J., and Hicks, Bassett, Hantz Marconi, and Donovan, JJ., concurred.

So ordered.

DATE: November 10, 2022

ATTEST:


Timothy A. Gudas, Clerk

Distribution:
Judicial Conduct Committee, JC-21-072-C
Philip R. Waystack, Jr., Esq.
Anthony F. Sculimbrene, Esq.
File

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
Colebrook NH 03576

(603) 237-8322
Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
Judicial Conduct Committee
132 Chapel Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 1

BILLING DATE: 09/20/22

ACC'T NO.: PW-ST4674-22

RE: Marital Master Bruce DalPra

DATE	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED	INDIV	TIME	AMOUNT
04/15/22	Download and save documents and files, open new file	RG	1.20	90.00
04/18/22	Print / review documents for file; Prepare chronological index of documents	RG	2.10	157.50
04/21/22	Continue printing documents	RG	0.20	15.00
04/22/22	Revise index and compile documents into binder	RG	0.80	60.00
05/04/22	Email documents to Attorney Waystack for review	RG	0.30	22.50
05/06/22	Email additional documents to Attorney Waystack	RG	0.20	15.00
05/06/22	Conference with Committee (virtual)	PW	0.40	80.00
05/17/22	Prepare Statement of Formal Charges	RG	1.00	75.00
05/17/22	Review and make edits to previous draft	PW	2.40	480.00
05/18/22	Review Statement of Formal Charges, revise accordingly	RG	0.30	22.50
05/18/22	Review and edit draft of Statement of Charges	PW	0.50	100.00
05/19/22	Accept track changes on Statement of Formal Charges; Prepare notice of hearing	RG	1.00	75.00
05/19/22	Work on file	PW	0.70	140.00
06/10/22	Attend JCC meeting, work on file	PW	1.60	320.00
06/13/22	Work on file	RG	2.50	187.50
06/13/22	Email Statement of Charges, work on file	PW	0.60	120.00
06/14/22	Review committee email messages; Review transcript, Code of Judicial Conduct, made edits to documents	PW	3.10	620.00
06/15/22	Revised Statement of Formal Charges according to edits, reformat and review	RG	1.70	127.50
06/15/22	Multiple emails concerning Statement of Charges	PW	1.60	320.00
06/16/22	Work on file	PW	1.70	340.00

Terms: Net 30 days.

We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.

THANK YOU!

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
 Colebrook NH 03576

(603) 237-8322
 Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
 Judicial Conduct Committee
 132 Chapel Street
 Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 2
 BILLING DATE: 09/20/22
 ACC'T NO.: PW-ST4674-22

06/16/22	Finalize Statement of Charges, Notice of Hearing and letter to M. DalPra	RG	0.80	60.00
06/16/22	Follow-up emails	PW	0.40	80.00
06/20/22	Brief telephone conference	PW/O	0.20	0.00
06/23/22	Scan and email request for discovery to Attorney Waystack	RG	0.20	15.00
06/23/22	Follow up email; Review letter requesting discovery of unabridged, complete transcript	PW	0.70	140.00
06/24/22	Prepare letter	RG	0.30	22.50
06/24/22	Email to paralegal to prepare and mail transcript	PW	0.30	60.00
06/28/22	Review message from Attorney, call him, discuss his appearance, discovery, need for further response, etc.	PW	0.60	120.00
07/12/22	Download and print response letter	RG	0.10	7.50
07/12/22	Brief email re: counsel's letter requesting discovery	PW	0.50	100.00
07/14/22	Substantive email to Attorney, addressing discovery, witnesses, depositions; Telephone call re: discovery requests (email and deposition)	PW	1.10	220.00
07/15/22	Review email	RG	0.10	7.50
07/15/22	Review multiple emails	PW	0.60	120.00
07/18/22	Review binder and scan and copy documents	RG	2.00	150.00
07/19/22	Review documents for privileged information, revise index, Bates-stamp documents	RG	3.00	225.00
07/19/22	Review index of discovery for privileged documents; Telephone conference to discuss privileged matters; Review specific documents; Telephone call (mess.); Email exchange	PW	1.20	240.00
07/20/22	Continue working and revising indicies, send link and indices via email to opposing attorney	RG	2.80	210.00
07/20/22	Telephone call; Conference with paralegal to email updated discovery; Conference with paralegal to launch link and indicies to Attorney	PW	1.80	360.00
07/26/22	Review opposing attorney's email; Review emails	RG	0.40	30.00

Terms: Net 30 days.

We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.

THANK YOU!

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
 Colebrook NH 03576

 (603) 237-8322
 Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
 Judicial Conduct Committee
 132 Chapel Street
 Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 3
 BILLING DATE: 09/20/22
 ACCT NO.: PW-ST4674-22

07/26/22	Review 2 email messages, respond; Email to Attorneys; Telephone conference with Attorney re: discovery	PW	1.40	280.00
07/27/22	Review Attorney email; Send email to Attorney discussing his various discovery requests	PW	0.50	100.00
08/02/22	Short email to Attorneys re: discovery follow-up; Telephone call with Attorney; Email to Attorney re: discovery requests	PW	1.20	240.00
08/03/22	Prepare Subpoenas and send to parties	RG	1.00	75.00
08/03/22	Email to Attorney re: subpocna; Email response to Attorney; Second email to Attorney; Review and edit subpoena; Email with subpoena	PW	1.10	220.00
08/03/22	Review email, respond, forward subpoena to Attorney	PW	0.60	120.00
08/05/22	Email to Attorney acknowledging production of discovery	PW/O	0.20	0.00
08/05/22	Substantive email to Attorney re: discovery / emails, deposition date subpoenas, dates from him for deposition, discuss further discovery, emails, audio clips, review response	PW	0.50	100.00
08/10/22	Telephone conference; Telephone call with Attorney; Draft letter; Telephone call; Email exchange with Attorney	PW	1.30	260.00
08/11/22	Telephone call; Prepare subpoena for all emails	RG	1.60	120.00
08/11/22	Review email about scope of deposition; Telephone conference with Attorney; Telephone call re: status of discovery, plea discussion; Review statement of charges re: timing; Review and edit subpoena; Review comprehensive timeline; Email discovery index and privilege log; Telephone conference with counsel re: deposition; Follow-up email to Attorney; Follow-up email to Attorney	PW	4.10	820.00
08/12/22	Review file for Attorney Waystack's notes re: status re: discovery	RG	0.50	37.50

Terms: Net 30 days.

We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.

THANK YOU!

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
 Colebrook NH 03576

(603) 237-8322
 Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
 Judicial Conduct Committee
 132 Chapel Street
 Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 4
 BILLING DATE: 09/20/22
 ACC'T NO.: PW-ST4674-22

08/12/22	Prepare subpoena; Email exchanges; Email for signature; Second email; Email signed subpoena	PW	1.10	220.00
08/15/22	Review Attorney email; Telephone call; Further email exchange	PW	0.60	120.00
08/15/22	Download and print signed subpoena; Review email correspondence	RG	0.30	22.50
08/16/22	Telephone call re: hearing transcript, transcript generally, status of investigation costs, charges; Telephone call to update status	PW	0.40	80.00
08/17/22	Review and organize documents for Attorney Waystack during RG's absence	RG	0.40	30.00
08/18/22	Draft stipulation for admission of final transcript, review and edit, add captions, further edits; Telephone call re: status; Telephone call; Email stipulation to opposing attorney; Review response	PW	1.60	320.00
08/19/22	Email exchange with opposing attorney re: discovery	PW/O	0.20	0.00
08/22/22	Review and respond to opposing attorney's email re: discovery; Email to Attorney re: deposition	PW	0.40	80.00
08/23/22	Telephone call with Attorney re: follow-up on deposition; Telephone call re: brief discovery status report	PW	0.60	120.00
08/26/22	Travel from Whitefield to Concord and return	PW	3.00	600.00
08/26/22	Attend deposition; Brief conference with Attorneys	PW	0.80	160.00
08/29/22	Review, respond to Attorney email; Telephone call; Work on selecting exhibits for hearing	PW	1.40	280.00
08/31/22	Review Attorney email re: resolution; Email and telephone call; Review Rules of Code; Email to Attorney	PW	0.90	180.00
09/02/22	Email to Attorney re: narrow the issues to be tried; Telephone conference with Attorney	PW	0.55	110.00
09/06/22	Send executed transcript stipulation to opposing attorney	PW	0.20	40.00
09/06/22	Review exhibits, prepare exhibit list, scan fully executed stipulation	RG	1.80	135.00

Terms: Net 30 days.

We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.

THANK YOU!

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
 Colebrook NH 03576

(603) 237-8322

Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
 Judicial Conduct Committee
 132 Chapel Street
 Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 5

BILLING DATE: 09/20/22

ACCT NO.: PW-ST4674-22

09/07/22	Email to Attorney re: deposition and discuss potential exhibits for testimony; Email to opposing attorney re: errors in deposition; Email to Attorney re: status of settlement discussions and trial	PW	0.80	160.00
09/08/22	Multiple emails and telephone discussions with opposing attorney and JCC representatives	PW	0.80	160.00
09/08/22	Review matter with Attorney Waystack; Prepare and review timeline with Attorney Waystack; Review documents for exhibit preparation; Prepare emails to parties; Download, print and review deposition transcript	RG	1.40	105.00
09/09/22	Email to opposing attorney re: agreement in principle; Draft and send stipulation and agreement to opposing attorney	PW	1.20	240.00
09/09/22	Telephone call to cancel stenographer for hearing; Draft stipulation	RG	1.80	135.00
09/12/22	Review and respond to several versions of edits to stipulation	PW	0.90	180.00
09/12/22	Review proposed edits to Agreement and revise Stipulation and Agreement accordingly; Review additional proposed edits and revise Stipulation according to additional edits and resend to parties for review; Review exhibits to prepare for the hearing	RG	2.10	157.50
09/13/22	Review email correspondence, print signature page, email; Telephone calls	RG	0.60	45.00
09/14/22	Review email correspondence from Attorney	RG	0.10	7.50
09/14/22	Telephone call	PW/O	1.60	0.00
Total of New Services:			80.55	11,595.00

DATE	EXPENSE	AMOUNT
06/16/22	Postage	8.76
06/16/22	Photocopies: 20 @ .20	4.00
06/24/22	Postage	3.56

Terms: Net 30 days.

We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.

THANK YOU!

WAYSTACK FRIZZELL

P.O. Box 137
Colebrook NH 03576

(603) 237-8322
Tax ID# 02-0405672

State of New Hampshire
Judicial Conduct Committee
132 Chapel Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801

PAGE 6
BILLING DATE: 09/20/22
ACCT NO.: PW-ST4674-22

06/24/22	Photocopies: 72 @ .20	14.40
08/17/22	Photocopies: 2 @ .20	0.40
08/26/22	Travel from Whitefield to Concord and return	104.40
Total of New Expenses:		135.52

ACCOUNT SUMMARY

PREVIOUS BALANCE:	\$0.00
NEW SERVICES:	\$11,595.00
NEW EXPENSES:	\$135.52
NEW PAYMENTS:	\$0.00
TOT. CURRENT PERIOD:	\$11,730.52
CURRENT BALANCE:	\$11,730.52

Terms: Net 30 days.
We accept Visa, Master Card, American Express and Discover.
THANK YOU!



Integrity and accuracy are between the lines of every eScribers transcript

INVOICE

eScribers, LLC
7227 North 16th Street, Suite 207
Phoenix, Arizona 85020
(800) 257 0885
Tax ID # 20-365-6767
DUNS # 96-431-8369

Date: April 07, 2022

Invoice #: 514928

Delivery Type: 14 Day

To:
New Hampshire Judicial Conduct Committee
132 Chapel Street
Portsmouth
NH 03801

Requested by: Robert Mittelholzer
Assignment No: NHJB-15309
Case Name: In the Matter of Dana Albrecht and Katherine Albrecht
Case Number: 659-2016-DM-00288
Hearing Date(s): 11/06/2020

Charge Description	Quantity	Each	Total
Transcription (R)	1	\$950.00	\$950.00
Invoice Total			\$950.00

Receipts	Type	Amount	Date	Total
Credit Card - Deposit	Payment	\$950.00	Mar 24, 2022	
Amount Now Due				\$0.00

Payable to: eScribers, LLC. Thank you for your business
Pay online through our secure website: escribers.net/payment
Refunds are processed within 30 days.

eScribers, LLC
address | 7227 North 16th Street, Suite 207
Phoenix, Arizona 85020
phone (800) 257 0885 | fax (866) 954 9068
website | www.escribers.net
e-mail | escribers-billing@escribers.net